## Structure of Modifications (I) and (II) of 13-Ethyl-17β-hydroxy-18,19-dinor-17α-pregna-4,15-dien-20-yn-3-one (Gestodene)

BY V. J. VAN GEERESTEIN, A. J. M. DUISENBERG, M. H. G. DUITS, J. A. KANTERS AND J. KROON

Laboratorium voor Kristal- en Structuurchemie, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht, Transitorium 3, Padualaan 8, 3524 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

(Received 7 May 1987; accepted 17 July 1987)

Abstract.  $C_{21}H_{26}O_2$ ,  $M_r = 310.43$ , orthorhombic,  $P2_12_12_1$ , Z = 4; modification (I): a = 6.620 (3), b  $= 12.66 (1), c = 20.70 (1) \text{ Å}, V = 1735 (2) \text{ Å}^3, D_r =$ 1.188 g cm<sup>-3</sup>; modification (II): a = 7.6427 (7), b =11.345 (2), c = 20.214 (3) Å, V = 1752.7 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_x$ =  $1.176 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . (I) and (II):  $\lambda(\text{Cu } K\alpha) = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu(Cu K\alpha) = 6 \text{ cm}^{-1}, F(000) = 672, \text{ room temperature.}$ Gestodene crystallized from ethanol shows two different modifications. R = 0.056 for (I) and 0.043 for (II), for 1501 and 1821 unique reflections with  $I \ge 2.5\sigma(I)$ respectively. For (I) the ethyl group is in the trans conformation relative to the C/D ring junction, whereas for (II) the conformation is gauche with the ethyl group positioned above the D ring. Molecular mechanics gives a steric energy difference of  $5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  between the minimized trans and gauche structures in favour of the latter. The A ring shows a normal  $1\alpha, 2\beta$ -half-chair conformation in both modifications. The head-to-tail hydrogen-bonded steroid molecules are similarly packed in both crystal structures.

Introduction. Gestodene is a new orally active progestogen enabling fertility control at a very low dose (Losert, Casals-Stenzel & Buse, 1985). The structure differs from levonorgestrel, which has been used for a long time in oral contraception, by the introduction of a  $\Delta^{15}$  unsaturation. Gestodene shows a higher affinity for the progesterone receptor than levonorgestrel (Spona, Scheider, Bieglmayer, Schroeder & Pirker, 1979), but a similar affinity for the androgen receptor (Bergink & Kloosterboer, 1985). Cleve, Frost, Hoyer, Rosenberg & Seeger (1986) studied the structure of gestodene by spectroscopic methods. In order to enable a better understanding of the structure-activity relationships of the progestogens the 3D structure of gestodene has been determined by X-ray diffraction and its molecular conformation is compared with that of levonorgestrel (DeAngelis, Doyne & Grob, 1975).

**Experimental.** Crystals of gestodene were obtained by slow evaporation from ethanol. Weissenberg photographs indicated two different orthorhombic modifications [(I) and (II)] with slightly different cell

0108-2701/87/122402-04\$01.50

dimensions. Crystal aggregates of both forms were also observed. The approximate dimensions of the measured crystals were 0.75  $\times$  0.6  $\times$  0.6 (I) and 1.0  $\times$  0.4  $\times$  0.3 (II) mm. Data were measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation; lattice parameters were refined by least-squares fitting of eight reflections, for (I):  $\pm 3 \pm 5 \pm 8$  with  $2\theta \simeq 66.8^{\circ}$  and for (II):  $\pm 2 \pm 3 \pm 5$  with  $2\theta \simeq 40.2^{\circ}$ ;  $\omega - 2\theta$  scan mode,  $\Delta \omega = (0.5 + 0.15 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$  for (I) and  $\Delta \omega = (0.7 + 0.15 \tan \theta)^{\circ} \text{ for (II). } 5517 (\pm h, k, \pm l$ maximum range 8, 15, 15) and 1947 (h, k, l maximum range 9, 13, 24) reflections were measured up to  $\theta = 70^{\circ}$  and the intensities of equivalent and Friedelrelated reflections were merged to 1529 and 1927 independent observations of which 1501 and 1821 were considered observed  $[I \ge 2 \cdot 5\sigma(I)]$  for modifications (I) and (II) respectively. For (I) four standard reflections were measured periodically and these showed less than 5% variation in intensity. For (II) three reflections were monitored and showed a decrease in intensity of only 2%. Data were corrected for this variation in scattering power but not for absorption.

For modification (I) initial phases were obtained from tangent refinement of phases calculated with the coordinates of the isomorphous crystal structure of levonorgestrel (DeAngelis, Dovne & Grob, 1975; Crabbé & Schlemper, 1983). The structure of (II) was solved by direct methods by default run with the SHELXS86 program (Sheldrick, 1986). For C and O atoms positional and anisotropic thermal parameters were refined on F by full-matrix least-squares minimization. H atoms were included on calculated positions and refined riding on their bonded atoms, except the hydroxy] and ethynyl group H atoms, which were located on a difference map and refined positionally. The thermal motion for H atoms was described by one overall isotropic thermal parameter. In total 215 parameters were varied using the SHELX76 program (Sheldrick, 1976). The refinement for (I) converged at R = 0.056 and wR = 0.069  $[w = 1/\sigma^2(F)]$  with  $\langle \Delta / \sigma \rangle = 0.06$  (6) for non-H-atom parameters and  $\langle \Delta/\sigma \rangle = 0.1$  (1) for H-atom parameters. For (II) final R = 0.043 and wR = 0.050  $[w = 1/\sigma^2(F)]$  with

© 1987 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Positional and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters  $(Å^2)$  for non-H atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

				$U_{\rm eq} = (U_{11} + U$	$U_{22} + U_{33})/3.$			
	Gestodene (I)				Gestodene (II)			
	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$
O(3)	0.9526 (4)	0.5823 (2)	0.0118(1)	0.0757 (8)	0.5259 (3)	0.8935 (2)	0.0061 (1)	0.0567 (6)
O(17)	0.2009 (4)	0.5481(2)	-0.4570(1)	0.0670 (8)	1.2676 (3)	0.9711(2)	-0.4550(1)	0.0435 (6)
C(1)	0.7987 (6)	0.4756 (3)	-0.1392(2)	0.067(1)	0-6430 (3)	0.9997 (3)	-0.1536(1)	0.0407 (8)
C(2)	0.8636 (6)	0.4570 (3)	-0.0700(2)	0.077(2)	0.5724 (4)	1.0243(3)	-0.0848(1)	0.0427 (6)
C(3)	0.8335 (5)	0.5563 (3)	-0.0307(2)	0.061 (1)	0.6249 (4)	0.9273(3)	-0.0380(1)	0.0417 (6)
C(4)	0.6537 (5)	0.6183 (3)	-0.0455 (2)	0.066 (1)	0.8016 (4)	0.8819(3)	-0.0456(1)	0.0443 (6)
C(5)	0.5315(4)	0.5969 (3)	-0.0947 (2)	0.058 (1)	0.9062 (3)	0.9139(2)	-0·0955 (1)	0.0347 (6)
C(6)	0.3340 (5)	0.6543 (4)	-0.1036 (2)	0.082 (2)	1.0981 (3)	0.8838 (3)	-0.0953(1)	0.0427 (8)
C(7)	0.2899 (5)	0.6883(3)	-0.1721(2)	0.070(1)	1.1659 (3)	0.8385 (2)	-0.1618(1)	0.0357 (6)
C(8)	0.3195 (4)	0.5982(2)	-0.2205(2)	0.055 (1)	1.1121 (3)	0.9192(2)	-0.2187 (1)	0.0300 (6)
C(9)	0.5374 (4)	0.5553 (2)	-0.2138(1)	0.0463 (8)	0.9101 (3)	0.9314(2)	-0.2190(1)	0.0317 (6)
C(10)	0.5788 (5)	0.5145 (2)	-0.1454(2)	0.0543 (8)	0.8435 (3)	0.9854(2)	-0.1539(1)	0.0320 (6)
Cùn	0.5854 (4)	0.4725 (2)	-0.2657(2)	0.0567 (8)	0.8401 (3)	0.9998 (2)	-0.2791(1)	0.0393 (8)
C(12)	0.5432 (4)	0.5107(2)	-0.3350(2)	0.0520 (8)	0.9153(3)	0.9628 (3)	-0.3465(1)	0.0397 (8)
C(13)	0.3244 (4)	0.5472 (2)	-0.3406 (2)	0.0477 (8)	1.1160 (3)	0.9562 (2)	-0.3437(1)	0.0317 (6)
C(14)	0.2894 (4)	0.6344 (2)	-0.2897(2)	0.051 (1)	1.1641 (3)	0.8719 (2)	-0.2867 (1)	0.0320 (6)
C(15)	0.0957 (4)	0.6851 (3)	-0.3133(2)	0.060(1)	1.3474 (3)	0.8335 (2)	-0.3039(1)	0.0373 (6)
C(16)	0.0833 (4)	0.6738 (2)	-0.3767 (2)	0.064 (1)	1.3721 (4)	0.8437 (2)	-0.3690(1)	0.0403 (6)
C(17)	0.2619 (4)	0.6102(2)	-0.4028(2)	0.0537 (8)	1.2122 (3)	0.8949 (2)	-0.4033(1)	0.0350 (6)
C(18)	0.1724(5)	0.4537 (3)	-0.3318(2)	0.067(1)	1.1855 (3)	1.0839 (2)	-0.3359(1)	0.0370 (6)
C(20)	0.4211(4)	0.6853 (2)	-0.4244(2)	0.0537 (8)	1.1049 (4)	0.7975 (3)	-0.4321(1)	0.0420 (8)
C(21)	0.5403 (5)	0.7454 (3)	-0.4442 (2)	0.065(1)	1.0208 (5)	0.7232 (3)	-0.4563 (1)	0.057(1)
C(22)	0.2104 (6)	0.3540 (2)	-0.3693(2)	0.075 (1)	1.3791 (4)	1.1067 (3)	-0.3254 (2)	0.052 (1)

 $\langle \Delta/\sigma \rangle = 0.005$  (3) for non-H-atom parameters and  $\langle \Delta/\sigma \rangle = 0.015$  (7) for H-atom parameters. The residual electron density in final difference Fourier maps was within  $\pm 0.3$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>. For (I) the mean square amplitude of vibration for H atoms refined to 0.091 (2) Å<sup>2</sup> and for (II) to 0.056 (2) Å<sup>2</sup>. Scattering factors were taken from *SHELX*76.

Discussion. The final atomic parameters are given in Table 1.\* Fig. 1 shows the conformations of the steroid molecules for both (I) and (II). Bond distances and bond angles are given in Table 2 and correspond to those observed in related structures (Griffin, Duax & Weeks, 1984). The mean differences in corresponding bond distances and bond angles for (I) and (II) are 0.006(5) Å and  $1(1)^{\circ}$  respectively. The symmetry of the rings is illustrated by the use of asymmetry parameters (e.g. Griffin, Duax & Weeks, 1984). The  $\Delta^4$ A ring has a normal (Duax, Fronckowiak, Griffin & Rohrer, 1982)  $1\alpha, 2\beta$ -half-chair conformation with  $\Delta C_2[C(1)-C(2)] = 4.2$  (5) and 1.5 (3)° for (I) and (II) respectively. The B and C rings have the usual chair conformation. The D ring has a  $13\beta$ -envelope conformation imposed by the  $\Delta^{15}$  unsaturation with  $\Delta C_{c}[C(13)] = 3.6 (3)^{\circ}$  for (I) and  $3.5 (2)^{\circ}$  for (II). For levonorgestrel  $\Delta C_s[C(13)] = 7.8^{\circ}$ . The overall conformation of modification (I) of gestodene is similar to that of levonorgestrel and a least-squares fit of all



Fig. 1. Molecular conformation of gestodene, modifications (I) and (II).

non-H atoms resulted in a mean deviation for the fitted atoms of 0.07 (1) Å. A scan through the Cambridge Crystallographic Database showed that in the crystalline state all steroids with a saturated D ring and a  $13\beta$ -ethyl group have the ethyl group *trans* relative to the C/D ring junction and that only one steroid with a  $\Delta^{14}$  unsaturation has the ethyl group in a gauche conformation with the ethyl group above the D ring [*rac*-3-methoxy-18-methylestra-1,3,5(10),8,14pentaen-17-one (Chekhlov, Ionov, Dononov & Ananchenko, 1983)]. For (I) the conformation of the

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and torsion angles have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 44261 (26 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 2. Bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°) fornon-H atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

	(T)	<b>(III)</b>		(T)	
	(1)		<b>a</b> (1) <b>a</b> (1)	(1)	(11)
D(3) = C(3)	1.231(3)	1.226 (4)	C(9) = C(10)	1.538 (3)	1.532 (5
O(17) - C(17)	1.421 (3)	1-428 (4)	C(9)–C(11)	1.538 (3)	1.534 (4
C(1)—C(2)	1.518 (3)	1.514 (6)	C(11)–C(12)	1.537 (3)	1.539 (6
C(1)-C(10)	1.541 (3)	1.542 (5)	C(12) - C(13)	1.537 (3)	1.525 (4
C(2) - C(3)	1.506 (4)	1.511 (6)	C(13) - C(14)	1.542 (3)	1.544 (5
C(3) - C(4)	1.453 (4)	1.458 (5)	C(13) - C(17)	1.573 (3)	1.570 (5
C(4) - C(5)	1.337 (3)	1.329 (5)	C(13) - C(18)	1.551 (3)	1.564 (4
C(5)-C(6)	1.506 (3)	1.507 (5)	C(14) - C(15)	1.508 (3)	1.515 (4
C(5) - C(10)	1.510 (3)	1.513 (5)	C(15) = C(16)	1.334 (3)	1.323 (6
C(6) - C(7)	1.530 (3)	1.510(6)	C(16) - C(17)	1.520 (4)	1.520 (4
C(0) = C(0)	1.526 (3)	1.531(5)	C(17) C(20)	1.404 (4)	1 499 (4
C(0) = C(0)	1.550 (3)	1.549 (4)	C(19) - C(20)	1 517 (4)	1 502 (5
C(0) = C(1)	1.528 (3)	1.517(6)	C(10) - C(22)	1.167(4)	1 170 (5
C(0)-C(14)	1.528 (5)	1.317(0)	C(20) = C(21)	1.107 (3)	1.110 (3
			m		
			(1)	(11)	
C	2)-C(1)-C(1)	10)	113.3 (3)	112.1 (2	)
C	1)—C(2)—C(	3)	110.0 (3)	110-3 (3	)
0	(3)C(3)-C(	4)	122.1 (4)	122.5 (3	)
0	(3)—C(3)—C(	(2)	121.7 (3)	121.3 (3	)
C	(2)-C(3)-C(	4)	116.3 (3)	116-1 (2	)
C	(3) - C(4) - C(4)	5)	123.2 (4)	122.6 (2	)
C	(4) - C(5) - C(6)	10)	123.1 (3)	123.1 (2	)
C	4) - C(5) - C(6)	6)	121.6 (4)	121.2 (2	Ś
C	6 - C(5) - C(6)	10)	115.3 (3)	115.7 (2	í –
č	(5) - C(6) - C(6)	7)	114.8 (3)	113.8 (2	í í
č	(6) - C(7) - C(7)	8)	112.2 (3)	111.7 (2	í
č	(7) - C(8) - C(1)	0)	108.8 (3)	109.0 (2	)
č	(7) - C(0) - C(0)	14)	112.1 (2)	113.4 (2	<b>`</b>
	(0) - C(0) - C(0)	14)	108.2(2)	106.7 (2	{
		14)	100.2(2)	111 2 (2	/
	(0) - C(0) - C(0)	10)	111.0(2)	111.2 (2	, ,
	(0) - C(9) - C(0)		111.7(2)	113.2 (2	{
	(10) - C(9) -	$\mathcal{L}(1)$	112.3 (2)	111.1 (2	<u>,</u>
	(3) - C(10)	2(9) 2(5)	111.8 (2)	110-5 (2	2
	(1) - C(10)	(5)	111.0 (3)	111.7 (2	2
C C	(1) - C(10) - C	(9)	110.7 (3)	112.0 (2	)
C	(9) - C(11) - C	(12)	113.6 (2)	115.6 (2	)
C	(11)-C(12)-	C(13)	109-8 (3)	110.7 (2	)
C	(12)C(13)	C(17)	117.8 (3)	117.4 (2	)
C	(14)–C(13)–	C(18)	111.4 (3)	114.9 (2	)
C	(17)—C(13)—	C(18)	108.1 (3)	109.3 (2	)
C	(12)—C(13)—	C(14)	107.9 (3)	107-2 (2	)
C	(12)—C(13)—	C(18)	111.9 (2)	107.5 (2	)
C	(14)-C(13)-	C(17)	99.0 (2)	100.8 (2	)
C	(8)-C(14)-C	C(15)	122.9 (3)	123.4 (2	)
C	(13)-C(14)-	C(15)	102.1 (3)	103-2 (2	)
С	(8)-C(14)-C	C(13)	114.1 (2)	113-1 (2	)
С	(14)-C(15)-	C(16)	109.1 (3)	109.5 (2	Ś
С	(15)-C(16)-	C(17)	111.1 (3)	111.7 (2	Ś
Č	(16)-C(17)-	C(20)	108.5 (2)	109.7 (2	5
õ	(17) - C(17) -	-C(16)	110.4(2)	109.2 (2	á
č	(13) - C(17) -	cùố	100.5 (3)	101.3 (2	Ś.
ň	(17) - C(17)	C(13)	116.0 (2)	115.7 (2	ý 1
0	(17) - C(17) - C(17)	C(20)	108.4 (3)	100.1 (2	<i></i>
0	(13) - C(17)	C(20)	112.6 (3)	111.6 (2	<i>.</i> ,
	(13) - C(17) - (13) - C(19)	C(20)	112.0 (3)	120.5 (2	<i>.</i> ,
	(13) - C(10) - (17) - C(20)	C(22)	176 5 (4)	120.3 (2	., \
L L		1.1211	1/0+3(4)	1/0.010	

angular ethyl group is approximately *trans* with respect to the C(13)-C(14) bond. The torsion angle C(14)-C(13)-C(18)-C(22) [-168.9 (3)°] is close to the mean value of -165 (3)° observed for 13-ethyl steroids with a saturated D ring. However, for (II) this conformation is gauche with C(14)-C(13)-C(18)- $C(22) = 55 \cdot 2$  (3)°. Force-field calculation with the MMP2 program (Allinger & Flanagan, 1983) showed that in case of  $\Delta^{15}$  unsaturation the gauche conformation is more favourable by 5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, in contrast with a saturated D ring (e.g. levonorgestrel), where the trans conformation is the most stable one by  $6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  (see Fig. 2). It is doubtful whether this ethyl group in gauche conformation is of any significance for explaining the increased binding to the progesterone receptor. It is thought that the presence of  $\pi$ -electron density in ring D of gestodene might be responsible for the increased binding to the receptor.

It has been deduced from IR absorption studies of 17-ethynyl-17-hydroxy steroids dissolved in  $CCl_4$  that the OH group is oriented in only one of the three possible rotameric states (Visser & van der Maas, 1983). Similar IR studies for gestodene and levonor-gestrel confirmed this preferred orientation, which is identical to that found in their crystal structures.

Fig. 3 shows the molecular packing in a stereoview down **a**. The steroid molecules are hydrogen-bonded from head to tail forming infinite chains parallel to **b**. For (I):  $O(17) \rightarrow O[3, (\frac{3}{2} - x, 1 - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z)]$  with  $O \cdots O$ = 2.899 (4), O-H = 1.03 (5),  $H \cdots O = 1.87$  (5) Å and  $O-H \cdots O = 175$  (4)°; and for (II):  $O(17) \rightarrow O[3, (\frac{3}{2} - x, 2 - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z)]$  with  $O \cdots O = 2.830$  (3), O-H = 0.85 (3),  $H \cdots O = 1.98$  (3) Å and  $O-H \cdots O = 171$  (3)°. In modification (I) there are several short intermolecular







Fig. 3. Stereo packing diagrams of modifications (I) and (II).

H····H contact distances of  $\sim 2$  Å involving H atoms of the ethyl group and H atoms bonded to C(7). In (II) no intermolecular H····H distances are present less than 2·1 Å.

#### References

- ALLINGER, N. C. & FLANAGAN, H. L. (1983). J. Comput. Chem. 4, 399-403.
- BERGINK, E. W. & KLOOSTERBOER, H. J. (1985). Adv. Contraception, 1, 256–257.
- CHEKHLOV, A. N., IONOV, S. P., DONONOV, M. V. & ANANCHENKO, S. N. (1983). Bioorg. Khim. 9, 978.
- CLEVE, G., FROST, E., HOYER, G.-A., ROSENBERG, D. & SEEGER, A. (1986). Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res. 36, 784-786.
- CRABBÉ, P. & SCHLEMPER, E. O. (1983). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 92, 275-287.

- DEANGELIS, N. J., DOYNE, T. H. & GROB, R. L. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 2040–2043.
- DUAX, W. L., FRONCKOWIAK, M. D., GRIFFIN, J. F. & ROHRER, D. C. (1982). In *Intramolecular Dynamics*, edited by J. JORTNER & B. PULLMAN, pp. 508–524. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- GRIFFIN, J. F., DUAX, W. L. & WEEKS, C. M. (1984). Atlas of Steroid Structure, Vol. II. New York: IFI/Plenum Data Co.
- LOSERT, W., CASALS-STENZEL, J. & BUSE, M. (1985). Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res. 35, 459-471.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1986). SHELXS86. Program for crystal structure solution. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
- SPONA, J., SCHEIDER, W. H. F., BIEGLMAYER, CH., SCHROEDER, R. & PIRKER, R. (1979). Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand. S88, 7–15.
- VISSER, T. & VAN DER MAAS, J. H. (1983). Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 39, 921–924.

## Acta Cryst. (1987). C43, 2405-2410

# Structures of Strychnine Hydrogen (2S,3S)-Tartrate Trihydrate and Strychnine (2R,3R)-Tartrate Hexahydrate

BY ROBERT O. GOULD, PAUL TAYLOR AND MALCOLM D. WALKINSHAW

Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland

### AND HILBERT J. BRUINS SLOT

Department of Crystallography, University of Nijmegen, Toernooiveld, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

(Received 22 June 1987; accepted 27 July 1987)

Abstract. (1):  $C_{21}H_{23}N_2O_2^+.C_4H_5O_6^-.3H_2O$ ,  $M_r =$ 538.49, monoclinic,  $P2_1$ , a = 7.374 (2), b = 11.713 (2), c = 14.293 (2) Å,  $\beta = 97.08$  (1)°, V = 1225.1 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 2,  $D_x = 1.46 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\mu =$  $1.08 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , F(000) = 572, T = 293 K, final R = 0.0346for 1763 observed reflections  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ . (2):  $2C_{21}$  $H_{23}N_2O_2^+.C_4H_4O_6^{2-}.6H_2O, M_r = 926.93$ , triclinic, P1, a = 7.573 (6), b = 7.855 (3), c = 19.586 (9) Å,  $\alpha =$  $\beta = 81.63 (5), \quad \gamma = 89.59 (5)^{\circ},$ 87.73 (4), V =1151.8 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 1,  $D_x = 1.34 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda(\text{Mo } K\alpha) =$ 0.71069 Å,  $\mu = 0.95$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 494, T = 293 K, final R = 0.0786 for 2509 observed reflections  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ . (1) and (2) are similar structures both composed of alternate layers of alkaloid and counterion. The three independent strychnine ions in the structures are very similar, and the tartrate moieties have a similar conformation. The two strychnine packing types are different, that in (1) being similar to the packing seen in strychnine amino-acid salts, while the packing in (2) is most often seen in 'simple' strychnine mineral-acid salts.

Introduction. Strychnine is an indole alkaloid found in the seeds of *Strychnos nux vomica* and related plants.

0108-2701/87/122405-06\$01.50

Aside from it use as a poison, it is important as a chiral in Pasteur resolution (Pasteur, 1853) of racemic acids. The two crystal structures described here are part of a large study on the diastereomeric salts of strychnine and the related alkaloid brucine. The tartrate salts provide examples of the changes in strychnine packing caused by small chiral counterions, and it was possible in this case to produce diastereomeric salts containing both enantiomers of tartaric acid. One of the products is a salt of the bivalent tartrate ion and the other of the univalent hydrogen tartrate (bitartrate) ion, a situation which is not uncommon in tartrate salt formation (Jacques, Collet & Wilen, 1981; Ladenburg, 1908).

**Experimental.** Approximately 0.05 g of a 2:1 mixture of strychnine and (2S,3S)-tartaric acid was dissolved in 0.5 ml of boiling water, and on cooling large needles of (1) were formed. An attempt to prepare (2) by the same method yielded crystals too small for X-ray diffraction, and the crystal eventually used for data collection was grown from a saturated 1:1 solution cooled over a period of eight hours. Both data sets were collected on an Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using graphitemonochromatized Mo K $\alpha$  radiation. Normal Lorentz–

© 1987 International Union of Crystallography